City of Las Vegas

Agenda Item No.: 79.

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE **CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: NOVEMBER 7. 2007**

DEPARTMENT: OFFICE OF BUSINESS DEVELOPMENT					
DIRECTOR:	SCOTT D. ADAMS			□ Consent	⊠ Discussion
SUBJECT: RESOLUTIONS:					
Disposition and E Village, LLC, for approximately 10 of West Lake Me	ussion and possible act Development Agreement the development of a go acres of vacant land ward Boulevard and Mart (1) [NOTE: This item is	nt between the grocery-ancho within Las Veg tin L. King Bo	e City of Las Vored neighborhogas Enterprise Poulevard is in the	egas and Lake ood commerci Park at the sou	e Mead al center on thwest corner
Fiscal Impact No Imp Budget Amount: Funding Source Dept./Division	Funds Available	Augmen	tation Require	ed	

PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:

Pursuant to the terms of the Disposition and Development Agreement between the City of Las Vegas and Lake Mead Village, LLC, Lake Mead Village proposes to purchase a +/- 10 acre parcel that is currently vacant from the City for \$1,000,000, less off-site construction credit for the Developer's expenditure for approved off-site improvements not-to-exceed \$500,000. Developer and its grocery store anchor will invest approximately \$20,000,000 to build a neighborhood commercial shopping center with approximately 100,000 square feet of retail space, which would include a Food 4 Less grocery store of approximately 70,000 square feet. The project will fulfill a need for a grocer in West Las Vegas, facilitate economic development for the community, and to accomplish the sale to and purchase by the Developer of the Site, which will lead to the creation of additional jobs and positive social and economic impacts.

RECOMMENDATION:

Approval.

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

- 1. Resolution No. R-81-2007
- 2. Site Map

Motion made by RICKI Y. BARLOW to Approve

Passed For: 6; Against: 0; Abstain: 0; Did Not Vote: 0; Excused: 1 RICKI Y. BARLOW, LOIS TARKANIAN, LARRY BROWN, GARY REESE, STEVE WOLFSON, STEVEN D. ROSS; (Against-None); (Abstain-None); (Did Not Vote-None); (Excused-OSCAR B. GOODMAN)

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: NOVEMBER 7, 2007

Minutes:

SCOTT ADAMS, Director of the Office of Business Development, explained that the project under companion Item 69 requires an AB 312 resolution because the property is being conveyed at less than the appraised value of about \$8 million. However, the developer is investing about \$20 million. The direct economic impact will be \$93.8 million and the indirect impact will be about \$57 million, from which the City will receive over \$630,000 in property taxes. So the City is receiving a greater economic benefit than it is providing in concessions to the developer.

COUNCILMAN BARLOW thanked MR. ADAMS for placing the benefit of this project on the record. It is important that the public be apprised through the process.

See Item 69 for related discussion.

